

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/707,593 | 12/23/2003 | William J. Jetter | 014033.000015 | 1592 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 7590 02/13/200 N ALLEN PLLC | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 1370 | 06 | | IWARERE, OLUSEYE | |
| Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3687 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/13/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/707,593 | JETTER ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| OLUSEYE IWARERE | 3687 | |

| | OLUSEYE IWARERE | 3687 | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>26 January 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | HE REPLY FILED 26 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited (with appeal fee) in compliance v | with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extraction under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropriate extension fee hally set in the final Office action; or (2) as | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENIDMENTS. | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS 2. M. The proposed emondment(s) filed ofter a final rejection. In | but prior to the data of filing a brief | will not be entered because | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims. | nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); er form for appeal by materially rec | E below); lucing or simplifying the issues for | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | owabie ii submilled in a separale, i | imely filed amendment canceling the | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | be entered and an explanation of | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | · · · | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fails to provide a | | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but The attorney's remarks are largely directed to the non-er | tered claim amendments and are t | | | | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/U8) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| /Matthew S Gart/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3687 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |